

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

#	Comment Type	Summary	Response
1	NA	Great campground	Comment noted
2	NA	Spectacular Visitor Center	Comment noted
3	NA	Great Visitor Center	Comment noted
4	NA	Very nice area/good view	Comment noted
5	NA	Would like to see old VC exhibits in new VC	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
6	NA	Would like to see old VC exhibits in new VC	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
7	NA	NCA should minimize driving in the NCA; Negative impact on the environment	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
8	NA	Add construction progress sign	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
9	NA	Put “out of order” sign on vending machine	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
10	GS	26 comments	Comment noted , Outside the scope of the document
11	GSWC	Bike/Hike = \$0; Car = \$8	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
12	GSWC	Bike/Hike = \$0	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
13	GSWC	Bike/Hike = \$0; Cars (including flex fuel = \$10; Hybrids = \$0-\$3	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
14	GSWC	Motorcycles = \$5 or banned; Need safe entry for bicyclists	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
15	GSWC	Bike = \$0; Car = \$8, Annual Pass = \$40;	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
16	GSWC	Bike = \$0; Bicyclists don’t cause wear and tear and don’t use amenities; increased traffic congestion	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM’s fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

			and regional recreation pricing.
17	GSWC	Fees for motorcycles should be higher than bikes/hikes. Do not add RV waste disposal.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
18	GSWC	Offer annual pass for bicyclists that is less than \$30. Is annual pass transferable from car to bike/hike?	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker."
19	GSWC	No fee for bike/hike. Use fees for trail maintenance, rangers, and land acquisition, not new construction	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Pages 30-33 include the writers suggested use of funds, except land acquisition.
20	GSWC	Visitor Center should be self sufficient or profitable or shouldn't be built. No fee for bicyclists	Comment noted
21	GSWC	Group campsite for schools should be \$25	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
22	GSWQ	They drive to Red Rock and park outside and ride their bikes on the loop. Don't want to pay twice – will they have to pay twice (once for car and once for each bike)? Crack down on motorcyclists.	Clarified. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker."
23	GSWQ	\$3 is too much for bikes. Will annual bike pass be offered?	This will be clarified in the Final Document. Page 24 to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. BLM will consider that your annual pass allow for 2 bicyclist or 2 walk-ins. No separate annual pass for bicyclists will be offered.
24	NBNH	36 Comments	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

			inflation and regional recreation pricing.
25	OLOS	20 Comments	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
26	CA MP	Enjoyed campground. Add recycle bins	Comment Noted.
27	CAMP	Add recycle bins	Comment Noted.
28	CAMP	Add dishwashing area	Comment Noted.
29	CAMP	Walk-in sites should cost less than other sites	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
30	CAMP	Walk-in sites should cost less than other sites. Add sign explaining why they cost the same	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
31	CAMP	Do not increase camping fees. Lower walk-in sites to \$8	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
32	CAMP	Walk-in sites should cost less than other sites.	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
33	CAMP	Do not increase fees. Do not expand camping area.	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
34	CAMP	Do not increase fees for bike/hike/camping. Refund tax dollars that have gone to the war and put towards national parks.	Comment Noted. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
35	CAMP	Do not increase fees unless amenities are added. Charge RV's more.	Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Page 9 describes planned campground improvements.
36	CAMP	Do not increase fees. Increase may be	Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

		justified if showers and other amenities are added. Campground is primitive.	of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Page 9 describes planned campground improvements.
37	CAMP	No fee increase in Red Rock. Use of funds not prioritized. Campground fees already too high.	Pages 30 – 32 includes goals and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed. Appendix E (page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
38	CAMP	If camping fees are raised, it will be cheaper to stay at the Stratosphere for \$20.	Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
39	CAMP	Do not increase amenity fees without adding amenities. Campground is very poor.	Pages 30 – 32 includes goals and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed. Appendix E (page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
40	SUOF	Add pay phone at Visitor Center and campground	Considered.
41	SUOF	Add recycling containers	Considered.
42	SUOF	Add camp sites; add pit toilets near popular climbing areas.	Considered. Page 9 describes planned campground improvements.
43	SUOF	Add camp sites; train camping staff; allow camp sites to be shared; improve dirt road to Black Velvet Canyon.	Considered. Page 9 describes planned campground improvements.
44	SUOF	Mark hiking trails; add camp sites; add fee showers; increase speed limit on Moenkopi road; add white divider on scenic loop to keep bikers/runners/slow traffic to the right	Considered. Pages 30 – 32 includes goals and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed. Page 9 describes planned campground improvements.
45	SUOF	Add shortcut to scenic loop to allow exit	Considered. Pages 30 – 32 includes goals

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

		after the 3 rd pullout	and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed.
46	SUOF	Focus on health and safety; open restroom near entrance booth; pump all pit toilets more frequently to reduce odor; improve traffic enforcement; increase law enforcement patrols; Will LE funds in business plan be used exclusively on the Scenic Loop and Hwy 159 or spread across other BLM areas?; Are these funds going to be sufficient to provide adequate LE on Scenic Loop and Hwy 159?; Revisit idea of locating entrance gates on both ends of Hwy 159	Comment noted , LE Rangers are responsible for all of the Red Rock NCA, which encompasses nearly 200,000 acres and these fees provide a portion of the funding needed for LE.
47	GQ	Will bicyclists be able to purchase an annual pass?	Clarified. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker."
48	GQ	If runner doesn't start at the main entrance, where would he pay?; Would the yearly pass apply to vehicles as well as hikers?	Clarified. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker."
49	GQ	One fee for each runner or one fee per household?	Clarified. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker."
50	GQ	Make it clear that lifetime Golden Age Passport holders are not affected by fee changes.	Clarified. Add clarifying comment on Page 24.
51	GQ	What is the fee for equestrian riders? Why isn't it in the business plan and fee schedule? These users park in the parking lot and ride in. How will BLM control use by bicyclists/hikers/equestrians from entry points other than the main entrance? How are these issues addressed in the business plan?	The use by non-commercial equestrian riders was not addressed in this business plan. The subject of appropriateness of fees will be addressed in the future and equestrian use will be evaluated at that time.
52	RSPR	Charge \$3 or annual pass fee to everyone who enters Red Spring Picnic Area. Charge for use of all picnic tables,	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

		not just group site. Add staff to collect fees.	are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
53	RSPR	Charge \$3 for access to Red Spring Parking Area. Abuse is high because there is no charge for entry.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
54	SCTF	Longtime supporter of Red Rock. Annual fee increase to \$30 is too high. Why is the annual pass the highest increase of all fees? Consider a tiered approach to annual fee increase where additional fees have additional privileges.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Page 24 BLM estimates it can generate additional revenue by focusing fee increases primarily on the four areas of highest use, including the 13 Mile Scenic Drive.
55	SCTF	Please leave the annual pass at \$20.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
56	SCTF	Leave the local rate for an annual pass at \$20.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
57	SCTF	Offer annual bike/hike/motorcycle pass for \$12 - \$15. The proposed annual fee is 10x the single entrance fee for bicyclists but only 4.5x the single entrance fee for a car. Lower impact users should pay less.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
58	SCTF	SRP operator: the new fee structure would place an undue financial burden (from 3% to 7% of gross revenue) on specific SRP holders but will generate little additional revenue for Red Rock. Suggest a more incremental fee increase on SRP holders.	Considered. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing.
59	NUMR	1. Fees for tour buses are not addressed. 2. Tour buses should pay more and/or have tours daily from Visitor Center. 3. What about shuttle system parking?	Clarified and considered. The table on page 23 identifies the current amenity fees charged at Red Rock and the proposed new fees. The per person fee for commercial tour buses is proposed to be increased from \$2 to \$5. Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

			fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. In the future the BLM is planning to conduct a study to determine if a shuttle system would be feasible.
60	NUMR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do not show SNPLMA funds with operating budget. 2. Las Vegas Metro Area should include unincorporated areas of LV Valley. 3. Cost of Collection should be streamlined to reduce it to 15% (from 19%). Are credit cards accepted? That increases overhead costs. Lowering the cost of the annual fee may increase efficiency and result in a net plus for the fee program. 4. Add donation envelopes and a secure metal receptacles at parking and restroom facilities at Hwy 160 and Cottonwood Valley 5. Aren't 126.7 miles of roads maintained by the county, not BLM? 6. Mojave Discovery Center cost per square foot = \$2,500. 	<p>Revised and Clarified. 1. The financial charts and tables on pages 16, 18, and 19 have been revised to show SNPLMA funds separately. 2. Page 13 revised to include population figures from the unincorporated towns within the LV Valley. 3. BLM is working with RRCIA to improve efficiency of fee collection. 4. At this time BLM is not planning to charge fees at these areas.</p> <p>5. Page 29 revised to clarify that four roads in the NCA are paved and maintained by other government agencies, Hwy 157, 158, 159 and 160. Page 19 will be revised to clarify that the total amount approved for the project includes costs for NEPA, planning and design costs, and BLM oversight costs, in addition to the cost of construction.</p>
61	NUMR	Not enough of funds are allocated for the conservation of resources and the purposes for which the NCA was established. Use fees to reduce congestion on loop road, rehab overused trails and a human waste removal program.	Considered. Pages 30 – 32 includes goals and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed.
62	NUMR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bike increase too drastic. 2. No mention of equestrian fees. Suggested fee = \$4. 3. Add mountain bike trails in the Scenic Loop Area (none exist currently). 4. Allocate funds to the Cowboy Trails area for a more sustainable trail system for bikers/hikers. 5. Develop hike/bike trails and add connectivity in the Twilight Zone area. 	<p>Considered. For 1,2,4,5: Appendix E (Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Considered. Pages 30 – 32 includes goals and proposed action items identified in the RMP and ROD. These proposals will occur over time as funding becomes available and site specific project plans are completed.</p> <p>2. The Business Plan only addresses commercial equestrian use, not casual use. Casual use will be monitored and addressed in future planning efforts.</p>
63	NUMR	1. Completely opposed to fee increases,	Considered and clarified. 1. Appendix E

Public Comments Received Regarding Red Rock Canyon Business Plan

		<p>especially for bicyclists. Fees should be charged on a reasonable basis for uses that cause wear and tear.</p> <p>2. No mention of annual card for bicyclists. It needs to be laminated so it doesn't get destroyed in their clothes.</p> <p>3. How did BLM arrive at a \$3 fee for bicyclists? How does BLM justify a 30% increase in other fees?</p> <p>4. How will BLM enforce new fees for bikes/hikes? Will they be fined for entering before NCA opens or entering through other areas than the entrance booth?</p>	<p>(Page 65) displays the results of BLM's fair market value analysis. The recommended fee increases are warranted to keep pace with inflation and regional recreation pricing. Considered. 2. Page 24 clarified to read, "The various annual passes can be used whether you are in a car, on a bicycle or hiking in. A pass will be needed for each bicyclists and/or hiker." BLM considered offering laminated or sturdier Red Rock Annual Passes but this is cost prohibitive. At this time lamination would be the responsibility of the holder. For the most part BLM will rely on the integrity of the visitor and hope that Red Rock is important enough to each that they will support the sustained use for maintenance and visitor services.</p>

141 Total Comments

DNA = Does Not Apply

GS =General Support

GSWC = General Support with Suggested Changes to Fee Structure

GSWQ = General Support with Clarifying Questions

NBNH = No Bike, No Hike Fees

OLOS = Overall lack of support for Fee Increases

CAMP = Campground Fees and Quality

SUOF= Suggested Use of Fees

GQ = General Questions

RSPR = Red Spring

SCTF = Suggested Changes to Fees

NUMR = Numerous Issues Raised